

Chiropractic Quality Standard Acute Neck Pain



About The Royal College of Chiropractors' Quality Standards

Quality Standards are tools designed to help deliver the best possible outcomes for patients. They are a series of specific, concise quality statements with associated measures that provide aspirational, but achievable, markers of high-quality patient care covering the treatment of different conditions. They also form an important part in addressing the increasing priority being placed on improving quality and patient outcomes.

The primary purpose of The Royal College of Chiropractors' quality standards is to make it clear what quality care is by providing patients, the public, healthcare professionals, commissioners and chiropractors with definitions of high-quality chiropractic care.

By providing a clear description of what a high-quality service looks like, clinics can improve quality and achieve excellence. The quality standards encompass statutory requirements, best practice and existing clinical guidelines, but they are not a new set of targets or mandatory indicators for performance management. They are, however, a useful source to form the basis of clinical audit and to identify priorities for future improvement.

Chiropractors are encouraged to adopt the Royal College of Chiropractors quality standards as practice policy. They can be used in a wide range of circumstances, such as a source of identifying CPD, promoting the services that you provide, tendering for NHS contracts, or education at a local and national level. They enable Healthcare professionals to understand the standard of service that you provide, and allow commissioners to be confident that the services they are purchasing are of high quality. Importantly, they also help patients to understand what service they should expect.

The Royal College of Chiropractors Chiropractic Quality Standard **Acute Neck Pain**

Contents

| Quality Statements | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Scope of Quality Standard | |
| Quality Measures | |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 1: | Waiting Times |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 2: | History and Examin |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 3: | Diagnostic Imaging |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 4: | Patient Explanation |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 5: | Plan of Care |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 6: | Informed Consent |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 7: | Early Management |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 8: | Treatment Aims |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 9: | Package of Care |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 10: | Early Monitoring |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 11: | GP Reports |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 12: | Referrals |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 13: | Discharge from Ac |
| Chiropractic Quality Statement 14: | Prophylactic Care |
| List of Sources | |
| | |

| | 4 |
|------------|----|
| | 5 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| mination | 7 |
| ing | 8 |
| ons | 9 |
| | 10 |
| ent | |
| ent | 12 |
| | 3 |
| | 4 |
| 2 | 16 |
| | 17 |
| | 8 |
| Acute Care | 19 |
| re | 20 |
| | 22 |
| | |

- I. On contacting a chiropractic clinic, patients seeking care for acute neck pain are offered an appointment within three working days.
- 2. Patients presenting with acute neck pain are assessed on the basis of a full history, a questionnaire about interferences with daily activities, and the findings of a clinical examination, which includes an appropriate neurological assessment.
- 3. Routine diagnostic imaging (including x-rays, CT or MRI) is not required for patients presenting with acute neck pain, but should be formally considered if onset was traumatic.
- 4. Patients with acute neck pain are given an explanation of their condition, the likely causal factors, details of different treatment options, and the expected prognosis.
- 5. A plan of acute care is formulated in partnership with patients presenting with acute neck pain after their personal goals, expectations and concerns have been considered. The plan of acute care includes a formal review within two weeks of the commencement of treatment.
- 6. Patients with acute neck pain are asked to consent to treatment after they have received an explanation of the risks and benefits of treatment, the likely outcomes with and without treatment, and a plan of acute care has been agreed.
- 7. Patients with acute neck pain are recommended early treatment to prevent long-term disability.
- 8. The aims of treatment for patients with acute neck pain are to reduce symptoms, regain function and return the patient to their normal daily activities (including work), subject to the patients' personal expectations and preferences.
- 9. Patients with acute neck pain are given options for short-term pain relief and treated with a package of care, including manipulation and/or mobilisation, soft tissue therapies, exercise and lifestyle advice, cognitive behaviour interventions, and encouragement to remain as active as possible.
- 10. Patients with acute neck pain whose symptoms are either progressing or very severe are assessed at least twice a week.
- 11. Subject to receiving consent, one or more reports are sent to the GPs of patients with acute neck pain detailing their presentation, diagnosis and response to treatment.
- 12. Patients with acute neck pain are regularly assessed and referred to another healthcare practitioner if their condition shows no sign of improvement within four weeks.
- 13. Patients with acute neck pain are discharged from acute care within four weeks of their signs and symptoms being absent.
- 14. Following an evaluation of prognostic factors, ongoing rehabilitation and prophylactic care may be offered to patients following discharge from treatment for acute neck pain.

Scope of Quality Standard

This quality standard covers the chiropractic management of patients with Type I and Type II neck pain (described by The Bone and Joint Decade Task Force on Neck Pain as not being associated with radiculopathy or serious pathology) of less than 6 weeks in duration. This is often referred to as simple, mechanical, or non-specific acute neck pain.

Type I and Type II acute neck pain encompasses a large number of different onsets, presentations, and sources of pain. These quality statements are therefore general, but nevertheless provide aspirational, but achievable, markers of high-quality, cost-effective patient care.

Quality Measures

The quality measures accompanying each quality standard aim to improve the structure, process and outcomes of care. They are not a new set of targets or mandatory indicators for performance management, but might be used to form the basis of future audit. They also specify what each statement means to each stakeholder (provider, commissioners, patients).

Chiropractic Quality Statement I: Waiting Times

| | are offered an appointment within three working days. |
|---|---|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policy listing waiting time targets and the necessary practitioner availability to reasonably meet the targets. |
| | Process: Proportion of patients seeking care for uncomplicated acute neck pain being offered appointments within three working days. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator being offered an appointment within three days. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients contacting the clinic with Type I or Type II acute neck pain. |
| Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | Service Providers should ensure that their clinic has the appropriate capacity to provide appointments within three working days for patients contacting the clinic with acute neck pain. |
| | Commissioners should look at the current capacity of a service provider to offer appointments to patients with acute neck pain within three working days, together with any plans in place to expand this capacity should a contract necessitate this. |
| | Patients with acute neck pain should have an expectation that they will be provided an appointment within three working days. |
| ource | A reasonable expectation by both the service providers and service users |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Chiropractic Quality Statement 2: History and Examination

| eeking care for acute neck pain king days. | Quality Statement | Patients presenting with acute nec history, a questionnaire about inter findings of a clinical examination, w assessment. |
|---|---|--|
| time targets and the necessary omplicated acute neck pain being | Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policy r and clinical examination procedures for p for Red Flags (indicators of serious spinal highlighting the risk of chronicity). |
| nator being offered an appointment | | Process: |
| ing the clinic with Type I or Type II | | Proportion of patients presenting with about interferences with daily activities. |
| | | Numerator: the number of patients in questionnaire about interferences with da |
| is the appropriate capacity to provide contacting the clinic with acute neck | | Denominator: the total number of pati pain. |
| of a service provider to offer | | 2) Proportion of patients presenting with notes that a full history and examination h |
| three working days, together with any t necessitate this. | | Numerator: the number of patients in examination has been recorded in the pa |
| tation that they will be provided an | | Denominator: the total number of pati pain. |
| ers and service users | Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | Service Providers should ensure that the carrying out the necessary examination (if for patients presenting with acute neck particular ferences with daily activities. |
| | | Commissioners should ensure that the give patients presenting with acute neck pactivities, as well as the ability to carry our |
| | | Patients with neck pain should expect to with their daily activities, have a detailed b |
| | Source | GCC Standard of Proficiency (S2.2, S2.3) |
| | | The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):S1-220 [F toc/2008/02151] |
| | | Practice Guide for the Management of W Chiropractic Association and the Canadia Education Accrediting Boards, Clinical Pra 2010) [http://www.chiropracticcanada.ca |
| | | Evidence-based Management of Acute M Australian Acute Musculoskeletal Pain Gu Research Council, Australian Governmer guidelines/publications/cp94-cp95] |
| | | Neck Pain: Clinical Practice Guidelines Lin Functioning, Disability, and Health From t Therapy Association – J Ortho Sports Ph orthopt.org/ICF/Neck%20Pain%20Clinic Sept%202008.pdf] |

ck pain are assessed on the basis of a full rferences with daily activities, and the which includes an appropriate neurological

regarding patient questionnaires, history taking patients with acute neck pain, including assessment pathology) and Yellow Flags (psychosocial factors

acute neck pain having completed a questionnaire

the denominator that have completed a laily activities.

ients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck

acute neck pain having a record in their clinical has taken place.

the denominator in which a full history and clinical atient's notes.

ients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck

they are taking the appropriate history and including an appropriate neurological assessment) pain, as well as giving them a questionnaire about

necessary policies and infrastructure are in place to pain a questionnaire about interferences with daily It and record a history and examination findings.

to complete a questionnaire about interferences history taken, and undergo a thorough examination.

B) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15]

Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/

Vhiplash-Associated Disorders in Adults - Canadian an Federation of Chiropractic Regulatory and actice Guidelines Development Initiative (June a/en-us/members/clinical-practice-guidelines.aspx]

1usculoskeletal Pain – A Guide for Clinicians – uidelines Group, National Health and Medical nt (December 2003) [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/

inked to the International Classification of the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical nys Ther. 2008 Sep;38(9):AI-A34 [http://www. ical%20Guideline%20-%20JOSPT%20-%20

Chiropractic Quality Statement 3: Diagnostic Imaging Routine diagnostic imaging (including x-rays, CT or MRI) is not required for Patients with acute neck pain are given an explanation of their condition, the **Quality Statement Quality Statement** patients presenting with acute neck pain, but should be formally considered if likely causal factors, details of different treatment options, and the expected onset was traumatic. prognosis. Structure: Evidence of practice policy (including decision rules for traumatic onset such as **Quality Measure** Quality Measure the NEXUS Low-Risk Critera or Canadian Cervical Spine Rule) with regard to the use of Structure: Evidence of practice policies relating to the communication to patients with diagnostic imaging for patients presenting with acute neck pain. acute neck pain of the details of their condition, including causes, proposed treatment and expected prognosis. **Process: Process:** Proportion of patients with acute neck pain who have received an explanation 1) Proportion of patients with acute neck pain receiving diagnostic imaging of their condition, the likely causal factors, any proposed treatment, and the expected Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator having received diagnostic prognosis. imaging. **Numerator:** the number of patients in the denominator who have been given an **Denominator:** the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck explanation of their condition, the likely causal factors, any proposed treatment, and the pain. expected prognosis. 2) Proportion of patients with traumatic onset acute neck pain, for which there is **Denominator:** the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck documentary evidence of a reasonable clinical justification for a diagnostic imaging procedure pain. having being performed (eg. NEXUS Low-Risk Critera or Canadian Cervical Spine Rule). Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator with a reasonable clinical Description of what the Service Providers should ensure that appropriate time is allocated to give accurate, justification for a diagnostic imaging procedure to have been performed. quality statement means relevant and clear information to patients with acute neck pain about their condition, the **Denominator:** the total number of patients presenting with traumatic onset Type I or Type for each audience likely causal factors and any proposed treatment, together with the expected prognosis. Il acute neck pain. **Commissioners** should seek evidence from Service Providers that patients with acute neck pain are given an explanation of their condition and any proposed treatment, together Description of what the Service Providers should ensure that diagnostic imaging tests are not requested routinely with the expected prognosis. quality statement means for patients with acute neck pain, but only used with specific individual clinical justification for each audience (eg. complying with decision tools such as the NEXUS Low-Risk Critera or Canadian **Patients** with acute neck pain should expect to be given an explanation of their condition Cervical Spine Rule). and what may have caused it, together with details of any proposed treatment and the likely outcome. **Commissioners** should not expect diagnostic imaging to be a standard procedure for patients with acute neck pain, but that Service Providers would have policies in place to determine in which patients imaging might be required. GCC Code of Practice and Standard of Proficiency (B3, S2. I, S2.6) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/ Source **Patients** with acute neck pain should not expect to have an x-ray or scan of their neck, but page.cfm?page_id=15] that it would be considered if the onset of their pain had been traumatic. NICE Clinical Guideline 138 - Patient experience in adult NHS services (February 2012) [http://guidance.nice.org.uk/cg138] GCC Standard of Proficiency (S2.4) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15] Source The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):SI-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/ Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):SI-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/ toc/2008/02151] toc/2008/021511 The Musculoskeletal Services Framework, Department of Health (July 2006) [http:// The Musculoskeletal Services Framework, Department of Health (July 2006) [http:// www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/Publications PolicyAndGuidance/ www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/Publications PolicyAndGuidance/ DH 4138413] DH 4138413] Evidence-based Management of Acute Musculoskeletal Pain – A Guide for Clinicians – Evidence-based Management of Acute Musculoskeletal Pain – A Guide for Clinicians – Australian Acute Musculoskeletal Pain Guidelines Group, National Health and Medical Australian Acute Musculoskeletal Pain Guidelines Group, National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Government (December 2003) [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/ Research Council, Australian Government (December 2003) [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/ guidelines/publications/cp94-cp95] guidelines/publications/cp94-cp95] Neck Pain: Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health From the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association - J Ortho Sports Phys Ther. 2008 Sep;38(9):AI-A34 [http://www. orthopt.org/ICF/Neck%20Pain%20Clinical%20Guideline%20-%20JOSPT%20-%20 Sept%202008.pdf]

Chiropractic Quality Statement 4: Patient Explanations

| Quality Statement | A plan of acute care is formulated in partnership with patients presenting with acute neck pain after their personal goals, expectations and concerns have been considered. The plan of acute care includes a formal review within two weeks of the commencement of treatment. | Quality Statement | Patients with acute neck pain are asked to conse have received an explanation of the risks and be outcomes with and without treatment, and a pla agreed. |
|--|---|---|--|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policies and procedures with regard to management plans, the consideration of the patients' personal goals, expectations and concerns, and the inclusion of formal reviews. | Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policies relating to consto patients prior to consent being sought. |
| | Process: I) Proportion of patients with acute neck pain who have received a plan of acute care, after having their personal goals, expectations and concerns taken into consideration. | | Process: Proportion of patients with acute neck pain that following an explanation of the risks, benefits and likely ou care has been agreed. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator who have received a plan of acute care, after having their personal goals, expectations and concerns taken into consideration. | | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator treatment following an explanation of the risks, benefits a agreeing a plan of acute care. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain. | | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting pain. |
| | 2) Proportion of patients with acute neck pain who have had their plan of acute care reviewed within two weeks of the commencement of treatment. Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator who have received a plan of acute care review within two weeks of the commencement of treatment. | Description of what the quality statement means | Service Providers should ensure that the risks, benefits explained to patients with acute neck pain, and a plan of a |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain. | for each audience | to treatment being requested and documented. Commissioners need to ensure that Service Providers which patients with acute neck pain are given information outcomes of treatment, and an agreed plan of acute care |
| Description of what the quality statement means or each audience | Service Providers should have the systems in place to ensure that the personal goals, expectations and concerns of patients with acute neck pain are taken into consideration before a plan of acute care is formulated, in partnership with patients, which will include a formal review within two weeks of the commencement of treatment. | | Patients with acute neck pain should expect to be given benefits of treatment, the likely outcomes both with and v agreed a plan of acute care, before being asked to conser |
| | Commissioners should expect to see evidence that the personal goals, expectations and concerns of patients with acute neck pain are taken into consideration before a plan of acute care is formulated, in partnership with patients, which will include a formal review within two weeks of treatment commencing. | Source | GCC Code of Practice and Standard of Proficiency (B3, B gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15] |
| | Patients with acute neck pain should expect to have their personal goals, expectations | | NICE Clinical Guideline 138 - Patient experience in adult [http://guidance.nice.org.uk/cg138] |
| | and concerns taken into consideration before taking part in formulating a plan of acute care, which will include a formal review within two weeks of starting treatment. | | The Musculoskeletal Services Framework, Department o www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/P DH 4138413] |
| Source | GCC Code of Practice and Standard of Proficiency (B1, B3, B5, S3.1, S2.1,S3.2, S3.3) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15] | | Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guideline: Evidence-Based |
| | NICE Clinical Guideline 138 - Patient experience in adult NHS services (February 2012) [http://guidance.nice.org.uk/cg138] | | Not Due to Whiplash – Chiropractic Clinical Practice Gui 2005;49(3):158-209 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/a |
| | The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):S1-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/toc/2008/02151] | | |
| | The Musculoskeletal Services Framework, Department of Health (July 2006) [http:// www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/Publications PolicyAndGuidance/ DH_4138413] | | |
| | Evidence-based Management of Acute Musculoskeletal Pain – A Guide for Clinicians – Australian Acute Musculoskeletal Pain Guidelines Group, National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Government (December 2003) [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/ guidelines/publications/cp94-cp95] | | |

re asked to consent to treatment after they f the risks and benefits of treatment, the likely atment, and a plan of acute care has been

icies relating to consent, and the information supplied ught.

acute neck pain that have consented to treatment benefits and likely outcomes, and once a plan of acute

is in the denominator who have consented to f the risks, benefits and likely outcomes, and after

patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck

hat the risks, benefits and likely outcomes have been pain, and a plan of acute care agreed, prior to consent ocumented.

at Service Providers have a consent policy in place, in are given information about the risks, benefits, and likely ed plan of acute care, prior to consent being given.

Id expect to be given information about the risks and omes both with and without treatment, and have eing asked to consent to receiving treatment.

of Proficiency (B3, B4, C1, S2.1, S2.6) [http://www.

experience in adult NHS services (February 2012)

work, Department of Health (July 2006) [http:// atistics/Publications/Publications PolicyAndGuidance/

ne: Evidence-Based Treatment of Adult Neck Pain Clinical Practice Guidleine - J Can Chiropr Assoc. bi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1839918/]

Chiropractic Quality Statement 7: Early Management

| Quality Statement | Patients with acute neck pain are recommended early treatment to prevent long-term disability. |
|---|---|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policy for the early treatment of patients with acute neck pain. |
| | Process: Proportion of patients with acute neck pain that are recommended early treatment. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator who have been recommended early treatment. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain. |
| Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | |
| | Patients with acute neck pain should expect to be recommended early treatment. |
| ource | GCC Standard of Proficiency (S3.2) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15] |
| | The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):S1-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/toc/2008/02151] |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Chiropractic Quality Statement 8: Treatment Aims

| Quality Statement | The aims of treatment for pa symptoms, regain function an activities (including work), su preferences. |
|---|--|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of policy st acknowledgement of the persona that decision. |
| | Process: Proportion of patients v are the reduction in symptoms, th activities. |
| | Numerator: the number of pati- reduce symptoms, regain function |
| | Denominator: the total number pain. |
| Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | Service Providers should aim to with acute neck pain to resume th expectations and preferences beir |
| | Commissioners should look for neck pain is to reduce symptoms, pain to resume their normal daily preferences being considered. |
| | Patients with acute neck pain sh symptoms, restore function, and t subject to their own expectations |
| Source | GCC Code of Practice (B1, B3, B |
| | The Bone and Joint Decade 2000 Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):S1 toc/2008/02151] |
| | Neck Pain, Non-Specific, Manager National Institute for Health and C neck_pain_non_specific/manager |
| | Evidence-based Management of A Australian Acute Musculoskeletal F Research Council, Australian Gov guidelines/publications/cp94-cp95 |
| | |

atients with acute neck pain are to reduce and return the patient to their normal daily ubject to the patients' personal expectations and

statements on the intention of treatment, and the nal expectations and preferences of the patient in reaching

with acute neck pain whose agreed aims of treatment the restoration of function, and a return to normal daily

tients in the denominator whose treatment aims are to on and return to normal daily activities.

er of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck

to reduce symptoms, restore function, and enable patients their normal daily activities, including work, subject to their eing considered.

or evidence that the aim of treatment of patients with acute s, restore function, and enable patients with acute neck activities, including work, subject to their expectations and

hould expect the aims of treatment to be to reduce to resume their normal daily activities, including work, ns and preferences being considered.

B5) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15]

00-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated SI-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/

gement – Clinical Knowledge Summaries, NHS Evidence, | Clinical Evidence (May 2012) [http://www.cks.nhs.uk/ ement/scenario_management#344100006]

Acute Musculoskeletal Pain – A Guide for Clinicians – I Pain Guidelines Group, National Health and Medical overnment (December 2003) [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/ 95]

| Quality Statement | Patients with acute neck pain are given options for short-term pain relief and treated with a package of care, including manipulation and/or mobilisation, soft tissue therapies, exercise and lifestyle advice, cognitive behaviour interventions, and encouragement to remain as active as possible. |
|---|---|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policy on the provision of options for short-term pain relief, as well as a package of care for the treatment of acute neck pain to include activity and lifestyle advice, manipulation and/or mobilisation, soft tissue therapies, and cognitive behavioural intervention. |
| | Process: |
| | I) Proportion of patients with acute neck pain whose management has included options for short-term pain relief. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator whose management has included options for short-term pain relief. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain. |
| | 2) Proportion of patients with acute neck pain who are treated with a package of care, including activity and lifestyle advice, manipulation and/or mobilisation, soft tissue therapies, and cognitive behavioural intervention. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator who receive activity and lifestyle advice, manipulation and/or mobilisation, soft tissue therapies, and cognitive behavioural intervention. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain. |
| Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | Service Providers should address options for short-term pain relief, and provide a package of care for the treatment of acute neck pain to include activity and lifestyle advice, manipulation and/or mobilisation, soft tissue therapies, and cognitive behavioural intervention. |
| | Commissioners should seek evidence that acute neck pain patients are provided options for short-term pain relief, and treated with a package of care, including activity and lifestyle advice, manipulation and/or mobilisation, soft tissue therapies, and cognitive behavioural intervention. |
| | Patients with acute neck pain should expect to be given options for short-term pain relief, and treated with a number of different techniques including being given advice on activity and lifestyle, manipulation and/or mobilisation, soft tissue therapies, and addressing the psychological and social implications associated with neck pain. |
| Source | GCC Code of Practice and Standard of Proficiency (F1, S2.7, S2.8, S3.2) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15] |
| | The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):S1-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/toc/2008/02151] |

Source

DH 4138413]

guidelines/publications/cp94-cp95]

Sept%202008.pdf]

- Neck Pain, Non-Specific, Management Clinical Knowledge Summaries, NHS Evidence, National Institute for Health and Clinical Evidence (May 2012) [http://www.cks.nhs.uk/ neck pain non specific/management/scenario management#344100006]
- The Musculoskeletal Services Framework, Department of Health (July 2006) [http:// www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/Publications PolicyAndGuidance/
- Practice Guide for the Management of Whiplash-Associated Disorders in Adults Canadian Chiropractic Association and the Canadian Federation of Chiropractic Regulatory and Education Accrediting Boards, Clinical Practice Guidelines Development Initiative (June 2010) [http://www.chiropracticcanada.ca/en-us/members/clinical-practice-guidelines.aspx]
- Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guideline: Evidence-Based Treatment of Adult Neck Pain Not Due to Whiplash – Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guidleine - J Can Chiropr Assoc. 2005;49(3):158-209 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1839918/]
- Evidence-based Management of Acute Musculoskeletal Pain A Guide for Clinicians Australian Acute Musculoskeletal Pain Guidelines Group, National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Government (December 2003) [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/
- Neck Pain: Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health From the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association – J Ortho Sports Phys Ther. 2008 Sep;38(9):AI-A34 [http://www. orthopt.org/ICF/Neck%20Pain%20Clinical%20Guideline%20-%20JOSPT%20-%20

Chiropractic Quality Statement 10: Early Monitoring

| Quality Statement | Patients with acute neck pain whose symptoms are either progressing or very severe are assessed at least twice a week. |
|---|---|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice police or procedures with regard to the degree of contact with patients while their symptoms are either progressing or severe. |
| | Process: Proportion of patients with acute neck pain, whose symptoms are either progressing or severe, that are assessed at least twice a week. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator that are assessed at least twice a week. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain. |
| Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | Service Providers should assess patients with acute neck pain at least twice a week while signs and symptoms are either progressing or severe. |
| | Commissioners should expect to see policies in place that patients with acute neck pain are assessed at least twice a week while signs and symptoms are either progressing or severe. |
| | Patients with acute neck pain should expect to be assessed at least twice a week while signs and symptoms are either progressing or severe. |
| ource | A reasonable expectation by both the service providers and service users |
| | Practice Guide for the Management of Whiplash-Associated Disorders in Adults - Canadian Chiropractic Association and the Canadian Federation of Chiropractic Regulatory and Education Accrediting Boards, Clinical Practice Guidelines Development Initiative (June 2010) [http://www.chiropracticcanada.ca/en-us/members/clinical-practice-guidelines.aspx] |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

The Royal College of Chiropractors

Chiropractic Quality Statement 11: GP Reports

| Quality Statement | Subject to receiving consent, of patients with acute neck pain response to treatment. |
|---|---|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice practice practice practice practice practice practice preserved acute neck pain detailing their preserved practice preserved practice preserved practice preserved practice preserved practice pra |
| | Process: Proportion of patients with the patient's GP detailing their presented by the presented of the patient's GP detailing the presented of the patient of the patien |
| | Numerator: the number of patier their GP detailing their presentation |
| | Denominator: the total number of pain. |
| Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | Service Providers should have sy sent to the GPs of patients with acu response to treatment. |
| | Commissioners should seek evid acute neck pain detailing their prese |
| | Patients with acute neck pain show to their GP detailing their presentat |
| Source | GCC Code of Practice and Standar page.cfm?page_id=15] |
| | NICE Clinical Guideline 138 - Patie [http://guidance.nice.org.uk/cg138] |
| | |
| | |
| | |

one or more reports are sent to the GPs of detailing their presentation, diagnosis and

olicy that reports are sent to the GPs of patients with entation, diagnosis and response to treatment.

ith acute neck pain for which a report has been sent to entation, diagnosis and response to treatment.

nts in the denominator who have had a report sent to n, diagnosis and response to treatment.

of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck

ystems in place to ensure that reports are written and ute neck pain detailing their presentation, diagnosis and

lence that reports are sent to the GPs of patients with entation, diagnosis and response to treatment.

uld expect that, with their consent, a report will be sent tion, diagnosis and response to treatment.

rd of Proficiency (A8, A9, S3.4) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/

ent experience in adult NHS services (February 2012)

Chiropractic Quality Statement 12: Referrals

| Quality Statement | Patients with acute neck pain are regularly assessed and referred to another healthcare practitioner if their condition shows no sign of improvement within four weeks. |
|---|--|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policy with regard to the regular assessment of patients, and referral of those whose condition shows no sign of improvement. |
| | Process: |
| | I) Proportion of patients with acute neck pain who are reassessed on a regularly basis. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator who have been reassessed on a regular basis. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain. |
| | 2) Proportion of patients with acute neck pain that are referred if their condition shows no sign of improvement over a four week period. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator who have been referred after they have shown no sign of improvement over a four week period. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain who have shown no sign of improvement over a four week period. |
| Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | Service Providers should regularly assess patients with acute neck pain, and refer them if their condition shows no sign of improvement within four weeks. |
| | Commissioners should expect to see policies on reassessments and referrals stating that patients with acute neck pain will be regularly assessed, and referred if their condition shows no sign of improvement within four weeks. |
| | Patients with acute neck pain should expect to be regularly assessed, and referred if their condition shows no sign of improvement within four weeks. |
| Source | GCC Code of Practice and Standard of Proficiency (D1, S2.1, S2.4, S2.6, S2.7, S3.1, S3.2, S3.3) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15] |
| | The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):S1-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/ toc/2008/02151] |
| | Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guideline: Evidence-Based Treatment of Adult Neck Pain Not Due to Whiplash – Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guidleine - J Can Chiropr Assoc. 2005;49(3):158-209 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1839918/] |
| | Evidence-based Management of Acute Musculoskeletal Pain – A Guide for Clinicians – Australian Acute Musculoskeletal Pain Guidelines Group, National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Government (December 2003) [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/ |

Chiropractic Quality Statement 13: Discharge from Acute Care

| red to another rovement within | Quality Statement | Patients with acute neck pain are of weeks of their signs and symptoms |
|--|---|---|
| ment of patients, | Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policy r when presenting with acute neck pain. |
| | | Process: Proportion of patients with acu being absent for four weeks, have been o |
| regularly basis. en reassessed on a | | Numerator: the number of patients in acute care after four weeks of their signs |
| Type II acute neck | | Denominator: the total number of pati pain whose signs and symptoms have be |
| ondition shows no | Description of what the quality statement means | Service Providers should ensure that pacute care within 4 weeks of their signs a |
| en referred after Type II acute neck | for each audience | Commissioners should expect the Sent that patients with acute neck pain should symptoms have been absent for 4 weeks |
| l. | | Patients with acute neck pain should ex their symptoms have been absent for 4 v |
| in, and refer them if | Source | A reasonable expectation by both the ser |
| eferrals stating that heir condition shows | | A reasonable expectation by both the set |
| and referred if their | | |
| 6, S2.7, S3.1, S3.2, | | |
| s Associated urnal/ | | |
| dult Neck Pain Chiropr Assoc. 339918/] | | |
| or Clinicians – n and Medical wv.nhmrc.gov.au/ | | |
| | | |

discharged from acute care within four ns being absent.

regarding the discharge of patients from acute care

cute neck pain who, after their signs and symptoms discharged from acute care.

n the denominator who have been discharged from is and symptoms being absent.

atients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck been absent for four weeks.

t patients with acute neck pain are discharged from and symptoms being absent.

ervice Provider to have policies in place stating Id be discharged from acute care by the time their eks.

expect to be discharged from acute care by the time weeks.

ervice providers and service users.

Chiropractic Quality Statement 14: Prophylactic Care

| Quality Statement | Following an evaluation of prognostic factors, ongoing rehabilitation and prophylactic care may be offered to patients following discharge from treatment for acute neck pain. |
|---|---|
| Quality Measure | Structure: Evidence of practice policy regarding the provision of rehabilitation and prophylactic care, following evaluation of prognostic factors, for patients with acute neck pain. |
| | Process: Proportion of patients that, once discharged from treatment for acute neck pain have had their prognostic factors evaluated, and subsequently offered ongoing rehabilitation and prophylactic care. |
| | Numerator: the number of patients in the denominator who have had their prognostic factors evaluated, and subsequently been offered ongoing rehabilitation and prophylactic care. |
| | Denominator: the total number of patients presenting with Type I or Type II acute neck pain who have been discharged from acute care. |
| Description of what the quality statement means for each audience | Service Providers should ensure that, following discharge from treatment for acute neck pain, patients' prognostic factors are evaluated, and where appropriate, are offered ongoing rehabilitation and prophylactic care. |
| | Commissioners should seek evidence that, following discharge from treatment for acute neck pain, patients' prognostic factors are evaluated, and where appropriate, are offered ongoing rehabilitation and prophylactic care. |
| | Patients should expect that, following discharge from treatment for acute neck pain, their prognostic factors are evaluated and, if appropriate, are offered ongoing strengthening and preventative care. |
| Source | A reasonable expectation by both the service providers and service users. |
| | GCC Standard of Proficiency (S2.6, S3.1, S3.2, S3.3, S3.6) [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15] |
| | The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders - Spine 2008;33(4S):S1-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/ toc/2008/02151] |
| | Practice Guide for the Management of Whiplash-Associated Disorders in Adults - Canadian Chiropractic Association and the Canadian Federation of Chiropractic Regulatory and Education Accrediting Boards, Clinical Practice Guidelines Development Initiative (June 2010) [http://www.chiropracticcanada.ca/en-us/members/clinical-practice-guidelines.aspx] |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Chiropractic Quality Standard

Acute Neck Pain

List of Sources

1. Acute Neck Pain - Information Sheet - National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian **Government (February 2004)** [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/guidelines/publications/cp94-cp95]

- 2. Acute Neck Pain: Evidence Based Management Faculty of Health Sciences University of **Queensland (December 2002)** [http://www.uq.edu.au/health/pdf/neck.pdf]
- 3. Canadian Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guideline: Adult Neck Pain Not Due to Whiplash Summary of Recommendations (November 2005) [http://www.drwhite.ca/Articles/NeckPainCPGSummary.pdf]
- 4. Canadian Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guideline: Evidence-Based Treatment of Adult Neck Pain Not Due to Whiplash (September 2005) [http://www.colchiro.org.uk/gfx/uploads/textbox/AAAAAGuidelines/Canadian%20Chiropractic%20Clinical%20 Practice%20Guideline%20Evidence%20based%20treatment%20of%20adult%20neck%20pain%20not%20due%20 to%20whiplash.pdf]
- 5. Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guideline: Evidence-Based Treatment of Adult Neck Pain Not Due to Whiplash – Chiropractic Clinical Practice Guidleine - J Can Chiropr Assoc. 2005;49(3):158-209 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1839918/]
- 6. Equity and Excellence: Liberating the NHS, Department of Health [http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/Publications PolicyAndGuidance/DH 118602]
- 7. Evidence-based Management of Acute Musculoskeletal Pain A Guide for Clinicians Australian Acute Musculoskeletal Pain Guidelines Group, National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian **Government (December 2003)** [http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/guidelines/publications/cp94-cp95]
- GCC Code of Practice and Standard of Proficiency (June 2010) 8. [http://www.gcc-uk.org/page.cfm?page_id=15]
- 9. Helping people help themselves, The Health Foundation [http://www.health.org.uk/publications/evidence-helping-people-help-themselves]
- 10. Henderson D, Chapman-Smith D, Mior S, Vernon H. Clinical Guidelines for Chiropractic Practice in Canada. Toronto (ON): Canadian Chiropractic Association; 1993 [http://www.ccachiro.org/client/cca/cca.nsf/web/Glenerin%20Guidelines?OpenDocument]
- 11. Neck Pain Evidence Summary The Bone and Joint Decade Task Force on Neck Pain (February 2006) [http://www.iwh.on.ca/neck-pain-evidence-summary]
- 12. Neck Pain Task Force Special Supplement J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2009;9:703-6804 [http://www.jmptonline.org/issues?issue key=S0161-4754%2809%29X0003-5]

The Royal College of Chiropractors

- 13. Neck Pain, Non-Specific, Management Clinical Knowledge Summaries, NHS Evidence, National Institute for Health and Clinical Evidence (May 2012) [http://www.cks.nhs.uk/neck pain non specific/management/scenario management#344100006]
- 14. Neck Pain: Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health From the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association - J Ortho Sports Phys Ther. 2008 Sep;38(9):AI-A34 [http://www.orthopt.org/ICF/Neck%20Pain%20Clinical%20Guideline%20-%20]OSPT%20-%20Sept%202008.pdf]
- 15. NICE Clinical Guideline 138 Patient experience in adult NHS services (February 2012) [http://guidance.nice.org.uk/cg138]
- 16. Practice Guide for the Management of Whiplash-Associated Disorders in Adults Canadian Chiropractic Association and the Canadian Federation of Chiropractic Regulatory and Education Accrediting Boards, Clinical Practice Guidelines Development Initiative (June 2010) [http://www.chiropracticcanada.ca/en-us/members/clinical-practice-guidelines.aspx]
- 17. Shared decision making A summary of learning from the event A Royal College of Physicians event developed in partnership with The Health Foundation and The King's Fund (November 2011)
- 18. The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders Spine 2008;33(4S):SI-220 [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/toc/2008/02151]
- 19. The Musculoskeletal Services Framework, Department of Health (July 2006) [http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH 4138413]
- 20. The Salzburg Statement on Shared Decision Making Salzburg Global Seminar (December 2010)
- 21. Wagner Chronic Care Model (via The Health Foundation)



Publication Date: May 2013 Review Date: May 2016

The Royal College of Chiropractors Chiltern Chambers St Peters Avenue Reading RG4 7DH UK

> T: +44 (0) | 18 946 9727 F: +44 (0) | 18 946 9730 E: admin@rcc-uk.org W: www.rcc-uk.org